arXiv:0804.4821v3 [gr-qc] 11 May 2008

Relativistic Universe Scenario

V.N. Pervushin*
Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

(Dated: May 11, 2008)

The luminocity-distance – redshift SN Ia data and the Cosmic Microwave Background power spectrum are discussed in the context of the results and ideas of the eminent Russian physicist-theorist N.A. Chernikov. His results include the Boltzmann-Chernikov distribution in the kinetic theory of relativistic gas, conformal invariant theory of scalar field, and the vacuum cosmological creation of particles. We use these results for explanation of the origin of matter content of the Universe in modern relativistic physics.

PACS numbers: 95.30.Sf, 98.80.-k, 98.80.Es
Keywords: General Relativity and Gravitation, Cosmology, Observational Cosmology

The talk presented at the BLTP Seminar dedicated to the memory of Professor N.A.Chernikov,
Dubna, Russia, April 17, 2008

In collaboration with A.B. Arbuzov, B.M. Barbashov, A. Borowiec (*Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław*), S.A. Shuvalov (*Russian Peoples Friendship University*), and A.F. Zakharov (*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow*)

RELATIVISTIC PHYSICS

The best introduction to relativistic physics was given by David Hilbert in his Göttingen talk "Die Grundlangen der Physik" [1], where the Einstein equations [2] in General Relativity were derived by the variational principle. In accord with the Göttingen "Foundations of Physics", differences of the trajectory of a relativistic particle

$$X_A^{(\mu)} - X_B^{(\mu)} = V^{(\mu)} \cdot s \;\Big|^{ds=N(x^0)dx^0}_{V^{(\mu)}V_{(\mu)}=c^2} \tag{1}$$

from the Galilei trajectory of a nonrelativistic particle $\vec{X}_A - \vec{X}_B = \vec{V} \cdot t$ are the 4-dimensional Minkowski space of events (instead of 3-dimensional space) and tree "times" (instead of the single absolute Newton time t) required for complete description of the motion of a relativistic particle:

i. a relative "time-variable" $X^{(0)}$ in the space of events as an object of the Poincaré transformations of a frame of reference, measured by an observer in his frame,

ii. an absolute "time-interval" s at the particle trajectory in space of events, given as the one-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and

iii. an unmeasurable "time-coordinate" x^0 in this manifold as an object of its reparametrizations $x^0 \to \widetilde{x}^0 = \widetilde{x}^0(x^0)$.

The reparametrization group in the Riemannian manifold $ds = N(x^0)dx^0$ is a new element in comparison with the frame group in the classical mechanics. In particular, the Hilbert variation [1] with respect to the metric component $N(x^0)$ leads to the velocity constraint of the type of the Lobachevsky space $V^{(\mu)}V_{(\mu)} = V^2_{(0)} - V^2_{(k)} = c^2$. This constraint is a complete analog of the Einstein equations in GR. The relativistic postulates (1) have firm evidences beginning from numerous experimental facts revealed in 19th century, including the first dynamo, the concepts of the field nature of electro-magnetic phenomena formulated by Faraday who gave an idea of unification of all interactions, finishing with Maxwell's equations, their interpretations by Einstein, Lorentz, and the Poincaré group of these equations.

Irreducible unitary representations of the Poincaré group constructed by Wigner in 1939 [3] as the basis of quantum field theory [4] mean the relativistic classification of physical states marked by their masses and spins. The difference between the Poincaré group classification of physical states and the classification of fields with respect to the Lorentz subgroup (given in the Lobachevsky space of velocities) determines the set of gauge constraints. Using these constraints and the second Nöther theorem Ogievetsky and Polubarinov [5] could restore at the quantum level all field interactions (including QED, GR, YM, QCD) besides the Higgs potential and the Penrose-Chernikov-Tagirov action [6, 7].

The problem of finite amplitudes of weak quantum transitions between physical states in GR in the 60s was not so dramatized, like at the present time. Abdus Salam constructed a finite S-matrix element in GR using for regularization the superpropagator method developed by M.K. Volkov [8]. In the 60s the absence of the standard renormalization scheme for GR was not yet considered as an argument to ignore the Poincaré group classification of physical states in GR, in contrast to the recent models accepted for description of recent observational data where this classification of physical states is ignored.

In particular, the Poincaré group classification of physical states [3] does not include the scalar metric component that is used now in the accepted ΛCDM cosmological model [9, 10] for explanation of the CMB anisotropy. Recall that this classification is based on the vacuum postulate about the existence of a state with a minimal energy. The vacuum postulate removes free dynamics of all negative energy components including the dynamics of scalar metric component used in the ΛCDM model as the origin of the CMB acoustic peaks.

The paper is devoted to the application of results by N.A. Chernikov for solution of these topical problems of modern Cosmology including the CMB power spectrum, SN data, and the origin of matter in the Universe.

Nikolay Aleksandrovich Chernikov is the outstanding Russian theoretical physicist (16.12.1928 – 17.04.2007) [11]. His first steps in clarifying the role of geometry in relativistic physics and stochastic relativistic motion [12] were supported by V.A. Fock. Chernikov formulated the kinetic theory of relativistic gas, including the Boltzmann-Chernikov distribution function [13, 14]. Together with his coauthors Chernikov supposed and developed the conformal invariant theory of scalar field [7]. The idea of the vacuum cosmological creation supposed by Chernikov and his pupils [7, 15] independently from L. Parker [16] was very highly estimated by Ya.B. Zel'dovich, A.A. Starobinsky, and A.A. Grib (see [17, 18]).

We show how these Chernikov's results help us to solve the problems of description of the SN data and CMB anisotropy without violation of principles of quantum relativistic physics associated with Poincaré, Einstein, Hilbert, Wigner, Dirac and other scientists.

MODEL OF A RELATIVISTIC UNIVERSE

The modern cosmological approaches including the widely accepted ΛCDM model [10, 19, 20] are based on the General Relativity (GR) supplemented by the Standard Model (SM) [21] and by an additional scalar field Q governing the Universe evolution [22]:

$$S_{\rm U}[F] = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{6}R(g) + \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}(F) + \partial_\mu Q\partial^\mu Q - \mathsf{V}_{\rm U}(Q)\right] \tag{2}$$

in the Riemannian space with the interval $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu$, where $F = f, v, \phi$ is a set of the SM fields, fermion f, vector v, and scalar ϕ. Throughout the paper we use the units $\hbar = c = M_{\rm Planck}\sqrt{3/(8\pi)} = 1$.

In accord with the so-called cosmological principle introduced by Einstein and Friedmann [23, 24], all local scalar characteristics of the Universe evolution averaged over a large coordinate volume $V_0 = \int d^3x$, *i.e.* zeroth harmonics,

$$\log a \equiv \frac{1}{6V_0}\int d^3x \log|g^{(3)}|, \qquad \langle\phi\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{V_0}\int d^3x\phi, \qquad \langle Q\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{V_0}\int d^3x\, Q \tag{3}$$

depend only on the world time $dt = a(\eta)d\eta$ in the conformal-flat interval

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)[(d\eta)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{3}(dx^j)^2] \equiv a^2(\eta)\widetilde{ds}^{\,2}, \tag{4}$$

where $d\eta = N_0(x^0)dx^0$ is the diffeo-invariant conformal time, and $N_0(x^0) = \langle\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\,\widetilde{g}^{00}\rangle^{-1}$ is the diffeo-variant global lapse function arising in the second term of the action

$$S_{\rm U}[F]\Big|_{g=a^2\widetilde{g}, f=a^{-3/2}\widetilde{f}, \phi=a^{-1}\widetilde{\phi}} \equiv \widetilde{S}_{\rm U}[a|\widetilde{F}]\Big|_{\widetilde{F}=(\widetilde{g},\widetilde{f},\widetilde{\phi})} - V_0\int\limits_{\eta=0}^{\eta_0} d\eta a'^2, \qquad a' = da/d\eta, \tag{5}$$

that emerges after the conformal transformations of fields in action (2) [25, 26, 27, 28]. The choice of interval (4) in action (5) is known as the cosmological approximation of GR [24, 29], where the inverse scale-factor, $a^{-1}(\eta) = z + 1$, is treated as the redshift of an atomic spectrum of an observable cosmic object being at the coordinate distance $r = \eta_0 - \eta$; here η_0 is the present-day value of the conformal time distinguished by $a(\eta_0) = 1$, and η is the instance of the photon emission by an atom in the given object. In this approximation, the Hilbert variation of the action with respect to the diffeo-variant global lapse function $N_0(x^0)$ gives the diffeo-invariant cosmological equation treated as the energy constraint [1]

$$a'^2 \ = \ \widetilde{\rho}_{\rm U}(a) \equiv \frac{1}{V_0}\frac{\delta \widetilde{S}_{\rm U}[a|\widetilde{F}]}{\delta N_0(x^0)} = a^2[\langle\phi'\rangle^2 + \langle Q'\rangle^2] + a^4\left[\mathsf{V}_{\rm Higgs}(\langle\phi\rangle) + \mathsf{V}_U(\langle Q\rangle)\right] + \frac{\mathsf{H}_{\rm QFT}(\widetilde{F})}{V_0}\,, \qquad (6)$$

where $\widetilde{\rho}_{\rm U}(a)$ is the conformal density and the field energy $\mathsf{H}_{\rm QFT}(\widetilde{F})$ is the standard component of the energy-momentum tensor of all fields $\widetilde{F}$

$$\mathsf{H}_{\rm QFT}[\widetilde{F}] = \sum_{F,\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l}^2\neq 0} \widetilde{n}_{\widetilde{F},\mathbf{l}}\,\omega_{F,\mathbf{l}}(a) + \mathsf{H}_{\rm int}[\widetilde{F}] \qquad (7)$$

in any QFT model in the flat space-time with the interval $\widetilde{ds}^2$ given by Eq. (4), where $\omega_{F,\mathbf{l}}(a) = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + \widetilde{m}^2_{\rm F}}$ is one-particle energy with the particle masses $\widetilde{m}_{\rm F}$ defined by the zero mode of the Higgs field $\widetilde{m}_{\rm F} = g_{\rm F}a(\eta)\langle\phi\rangle(\eta) = g_{\rm F}\langle\phi\rangle(\eta)$ with the corresponding coupling constant $g_{\rm F}$, and $\widetilde{n}_{F,\mathbf{l}} = [\widetilde{F}^+_{\mathbf{l}}\ \widetilde{F}^-_{\mathbf{-l}} \pm \widetilde{F}^-_{\mathbf{-l}}\ \widetilde{F}^+_{\mathbf{l}}]/2$ (with a positive sign for bosons and negative for fermions) are the field *occupation numbers* in terms of the holomorphic variables $\widetilde{F}^{\pm}_{\mathbf{-l}}$ defined by decomposition over momenta [7, 18, 30, 31]:

$$\widetilde{F}(\eta,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V_0}\sum_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l}^2\neq 0} c_F(a,\omega_{F,\mathbf{l}})\frac{e^{i\mathbf{kx}}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{F,\mathbf{l}}}}\left[\widetilde{F}^+_{\mathbf{l}}(\eta) + \widetilde{F}^-_{-\mathbf{l}}(\eta)\right], \qquad \mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{V_0^{1/3}}\mathbf{l}; \qquad (8)$$

here $c_F(a,\omega_{F,\mathbf{l}})$ is the normalization factor determined by the Hamiltonian approach [25]. Equations of these fields

$$\frac{\delta \widetilde{S}_{\rm U}[a|\widetilde{F}]}{\delta \widetilde{F}} = 0\Big|^{d\eta = N(x^0)dx^0}_{a'^2 = \widetilde{\rho}_{\rm U}(a)} \qquad (9)$$

describe a trajectory of a Relativistic Universe (RU) in its field space of events $[a(\eta)|\widetilde{F}]$ [32] as an analogy of the trajectory of a relativistic particle (1). The Hilbert foundations of relativistic physics [1] guarantee that the complete description of the RU evolution can be fulfilled by three "times":

i) a relative"time-variable" a in the space of events $[a(\eta)|\widetilde{F}]$ as an object of the frame transformations,

ii) an absolute "time-interval" $d\eta$ at the RU trajectory in space of events, and

iii) an unmeasurable "time-coordinate" x^0 in the Riemannian manifold as an object of reparametrizations $x^0 \to \widetilde{x}^0 = \widetilde{x}^0(x^0)$.

Really, the RU trajectory (9) is completed by its time-like component of $a' = \sqrt{\widetilde{\rho}_{\rm U}(a)}$ that determines the coordinate-distance – redshift relation $r(z)$ at the light-cone interval $\widetilde{ds}^2 = d\eta^2 - dr^2 = 0$

$$r(z) = \eta_0 - \eta = \int\limits_{a=(z+1)^{-1}}^{a_0=1} \frac{da}{\sqrt{\widetilde{\rho}_{\rm U}(a)}}\ . \qquad (10)$$

This relation is used as the basis of the cosmological studies of modern astrophysical data [10, 19, 20, 29].

The best way to formulate the Relativistic Universe model in terms of reparametrization-invariant observables and their initial data is to use the Dirac Hamiltonian approach to the action (5) in the flat space-time approximation given by Eq. (4) [25, 33]

$$S = \int d^4x \sum_F P_{\widetilde{F}}\partial_0\widetilde{F} + \int\left\{P_{\langle Q\rangle}d\langle Q\rangle + P_{\langle\phi\rangle}d\langle\phi\rangle - P_{\log a}d\log a + \left[P^2_{\log a} - \mathsf{E}^2_{\rm U}(a)\right]\frac{N_0(x^0)}{4V_0a^2}dx^0\right\}, \qquad (11)$$

where $P_{\widetilde{F}}$, $P_{\log a} = 2V_0 a a'$, $P_{\langle\phi\rangle} = 2a^2 V_0 \langle\phi\rangle'$, and $P_{\langle Q\rangle} = 2a^2 V_0 \langle Q\rangle'$ are the canonical conjugate momenta. In this case

$$\mathsf{E}^2_{\rm U}(a) \equiv P^2_{\langle\phi\rangle} + P^2_{\langle Q\rangle} + 4V_0^2 a^6 \left[\mathsf{V}_{\rm Higgs}(\langle\phi\rangle) + \mathsf{V}_U(\langle Q\rangle)\right] + 4V_0 a^2 \mathsf{H}_{\rm QFT}(\widetilde{F}) \tag{12}$$

can be considered as a square of the Universe energy because $\log a$ is treated as a Universe evolution parameter and the global lapse function N_0 becomes the Lagrange multiplier. Variation of the latter leads to the energy constraint (6) in its Hamiltonian form

$$P^2_{\log a} - \mathsf{E}^2_{\rm U}(a) = 0. \tag{13}$$

Quantities $\langle\phi\rangle$ and $\langle Q\rangle$ are the solutions of the equations of motion following from the emerging cosmological GR&SM action (11) with the initial data for the zeroth harmonics (3) at the instance $\eta = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a(\eta=0) = a_I, & P_{\log a_I} = \mathsf{E}_{\rm U}(a_I), \\ \langle\phi\rangle(\eta=0) = \dfrac{M_W}{g_W}, & P_{\langle\phi\rangle_I} = 0, \\ \langle Q\rangle(\eta=0) = Q_I, & P_{\langle Q\rangle_I} = 2V_0 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{\rm rigid}}. \end{array} \tag{14}$$

These initial data as a natural source of symmetry breaking in the Higgs doublet allow us to impose the zero potential conditions on the scalar zero modes $\mathsf{V}_{\rm Higgs}(\langle\phi\rangle) \equiv 0$, $\mathsf{V}_{\rm U}(\langle Q\rangle) \equiv 0$ [34].

However, as it was revealed in [25], the Wigner unitary irreducible representations of the Poincaré group, and the quantum theory of a Relativistic Universe are not compatible with the accepted Lifshitz-Bardeen cosmological perturbation theory, where reparametrization-invariant conformal time is considered as an object of general coordinate transformations (frame transformations are not separated from the gauge ones) and the Hamiltonian approach is failed due to double counting of the scalar metric component. Moreover, the ΛCDM approach can be criticized because it uses scalar metric components with a negative energy contribution which have to be excluded, according to the Poincaré group classification of physical states of metric components [3].

The conformal variables $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{ds}$ reveals the fact that the scalar field inflation scenario considered in terms of standard variables $F, ds = a\widetilde{ds}$ can be fulfilled only in the class of constant scalar fields $P_\phi \equiv 0$:

$$S = -\int d\eta d^3x\, a^4 \mathsf{V}(\phi)\Bigg|_{\phi=\text{constant}} = -\int d\eta d^3x\, a^4 \Lambda,$$

where the scalar field potential produces the energy density $\rho_{\rm const.} = a^4\Lambda \equiv H_0^2 a^4 \Omega_\Lambda$. Since the present-day value of the Λ-term is not equal to its initial data $\Lambda_I \neq \Lambda_0$, one needs the kinetic term too. However, both the scalar field kinetic term and the potential one

$$S = \int d\eta d^3x \left[a^2(\phi')^2 - \lambda a^4 \phi^4\right]\Big|^{P_c = P_\phi/a}_{\phi_c = \phi a} = \int d\eta d^3x \left[P_c \phi' - (\log a)' P_c \phi_c - \left(\frac{P_c^2}{4} + \lambda \phi_c^4\right)\right], \tag{15}$$

where $P_\phi = 2a^2\phi'$, can lead to integral of motion that corresponds to the radiation dominant conformal density $\rho = H_0^2 \Omega_{\rm radiation}$, instead of a sum of rigid state and inflation expected in [35, 36]. In particular, this formula signals that dynamic scalar field inflation announced in [35, 36] cannot exit. In this example (15), the integral of motion shows us that the phenomenon of dynamic scalar field inflation is an artefact of the choice of nonadequate variables. However, formula (15) contains the term $(\log a)' P_c \phi_c$ as a source of an intensive creation of scalar particles in the Early Universe revealed in [31] for the longitudinal components of vector bosons. Thus, instead of dynamic scalar field inflation [35, 36] we obtain effect of an intensive creation of scalar particles in the Early Universe.

In the following, we show that there is a possibility to solve the problems of the Wigner relativistic classification of physical states in modern Cosmology [3] (rejecting ΛCDM model) and the absence of dynamic scalar field inflation announced in [35, 36] using the Penrose-Chernikov-Tagirov dilaton gravity [7] in order to describe of SN data and the CMB power spectrum.

SN-DATA IN THE PENROSE-CHERNIKOV-TAGIROV DILATON GRAVITY

Recent data on the luminosity-redshift relation obtained by the Supernova Cosmology project [37, 38] point out an accelerated expansion of the universe within the standard Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmological model. The accelerated expansion can be achieved by the Λ term. An alternative description of the new cosmological supernova data without a Λ- term was fulfilled in [39, 40, 41] using Weyl's geometry of similarity [42].

As it was shown by Weyl [42] already in 1918, conformal - invariant theories correspond to the relative standard of measurement of a conformal - invariant ratio of two intervals given in the geometry of similarity as a manifold of Riemannian geometries connected by conformal transformations characterized by a measure of changing the length of a vector on its parallel transport. The original Weyl theory [42] was based on the measure of changing the length of a vector on its parallel transport as a vector field leading to the physical ambiguity of the arrow of time pointed out by Einstein in his comment to Weyl's paper [42]. However, in Dirac's paper [43] and other [44, 45, 46, 47] it was found that the geometry of similarity can be realized in the case when the vector field is replaced by the gradient of a scalar field – dilaton D. In this case, we obtain the dilaton gravitational theory, i.e. Dilaton Gravity (DG). The DG action $S_{\rm DG}$ coincides with the Penrose-Chernikov-Tagirov action [6, 7] with the opposite sign,

$$S_{\rm DG}(D,\widetilde{g}) = -S_{\rm PChT}(e^D,\widetilde{g}) = -\int d^4x \left[\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\frac{e^{2D}R(\widetilde{g})}{6} - e^D\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\widetilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu e^D\right)\right]. \qquad (16)$$

This theory emerges from the Einstein one $S_{\rm GR} = -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}R(g)/6$ after a scale transformation $S_{\rm DG} = S_{\rm GR}(g = e^{2D}\widetilde{g})$. However, the main difference of DG from Einstein's GR is the DG measurable interval $\widetilde{ds}^2 = {ds_1}^2/{ds_2}^2$ which is free from any scale including the scale cosmological factor. In this case, the role of the scale cosmological factor is played by the dilaton zero mode $\log a = \langle D\rangle$, so that in the cosmological approximation the model given by the action (5) appears, where conformal variables and coordinates $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{ds}$ are identified with measurable ones, and any dimensional parameter can be introduced by the initial data.

The identification of measurable quantities with the conformal ones $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{ds}$ changes the numerical analysis of supernovae type Ia data [37, 38], because instead of the Standard Cosmology (SC) distance – redshift relation $R_{\rm SC}(z) = a(z)\int_1^{a(z)} d\overline{a}\rho_{\rm SC}^{-1/2}(\overline{a})$, $a(z) = 1/(1+z)$ one uses the Conformal Cosmology (CC) distance – redshift relation $R_{\rm CC}(z) = \int_1^{a(z)} d\overline{a}\rho_{\rm CC}^{-1/2}(\overline{a})$.

The analysis in terms of the conformal *measurable* quantities [39, 40, 41] shows, in contrast to the ΛCDM model, the dominance of the rigid state ($\rho_{\rm CC}(a) = H_0^2\Omega_{\rm rigid}/a^2$, $\sqrt{\Omega_{\rm rigid}} \sim 1$, $a(\eta) = \sqrt{1 + 2H_0(\eta - \eta_0)}$) in all epochs of the Universe evolution including the chemical evolution, recombination, and SN data. In the case of the rigid state the conformal horizon

$$\widetilde{d}(a) = 2\int\limits_0^{a(z)=(z+1)^{-1}} \frac{d\overline{a}}{\sqrt{\widetilde{\rho}_{\rm CC}(\overline{a})}} = a^2H_0^{-1} \qquad (17)$$

coincides with the inverse conformal Hubble parameter $\widetilde{d}_{\rm rigid}(a) = a^2H_0^{-1} = \widetilde{H}_{\rm rigid}^{-1}(a)$ for any value of the cosmological scale factor. This Conformal Cosmology [39, 40, 41] is not excluded by modern observational data [48, 49].

COSMOLOGICAL PARTICLE CREATION AND THE CMB POWER SPECTRUM

The main consequence of relativistic principles is the cosmological creation of primordial particles from vacuum [7, 15, 25, 31] as the origin of the Universe and its matter treated as "Big Bang".

The sources of vacuum creation of primordial particles are in the linear differential form in action (11)

$$\int d^3x\sum_F P_{\widetilde{F}}\partial_0\widetilde{F} = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{F,\mathbf{l}}\left(\widetilde{F}^+_{-\mathbf{l}}\partial_0\widetilde{F}^-_{\mathbf{l}} - \widetilde{F}^-_{\mathbf{l}}\partial_0\widetilde{F}^+_{-\mathbf{l}}\right) + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{F,\mathbf{l}}\left(\widetilde{F}^+_{-\mathbf{l}}\widetilde{F}^+_{\mathbf{l}} - \widetilde{F}^-_{\mathbf{l}}\widetilde{F}^-_{-\mathbf{l}}\right)\partial_0\widetilde{\triangle}_F. \qquad (18)$$

In fact, the transformation (8) to measurable quantities of the occupation number and one-particle energy leads to the second term on the right hand side of the above equation with the following coefficients: $\widetilde{\triangle}_{F=v^T,f} = \log\sqrt{\omega_F}$, $\widetilde{\triangle}_{F=v^{||}} = \log a/\sqrt{\omega_F}$, $\widetilde{\triangle}_{F=h,q} = \log a\sqrt{\omega_F}$, $\widetilde{\triangle}_{F=Q,h^{TT}} = \log a$; here $v = v^{||} + v^T$ are conformal fields of W and Z vector bosons, f are fermions, h^{TT} is graviton, h is a massive scalar (Higgs) particle (see the massive vector theory in detail in [31, 50]).

Due to the source terms in the form (18) the field equations

$$\partial_\eta \widetilde{F}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k},\eta) = \pm i\omega_F \widetilde{F}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k},\eta) + \partial_\eta \triangle_F(\eta)\widetilde{F}^{\mp}(\mathbf{k},\eta) + i[\mathsf{H}_{\rm int}, \widetilde{F}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k},\eta)] \tag{19}$$

are not diagonal. In order to obtain integrals of motion in the approximation $\mathsf{H}_{\rm int} \sim 0$, these field equations are diagonalized by the Bogoliubov transformation of the operator of particle $\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{l}}^{+} = \alpha b^{+}_{F,\mathbf{l}} + \beta^* b^{-}_{F,\mathbf{l}}$, so that the free equations of motion of the Bogoliubov quasiparticle become diagonal $\partial_\eta b^{\pm}_{F,\mathbf{l}} = \pm i\omega_b b^{\pm}_{F,\mathbf{l}}$, where ω_b is the quasiparticle energy [18, 30, 31]. The stable vacuum is defined by $b^{-}_{F,\mathbf{l}}|0>= 0$, where $b^{-}_{F,\mathbf{l}}$ is the operator of annihilation of a quasiparticle.

One can assume [30, 31] that at the initial instance $\eta = 0$ there were no any particle-like excitations, $< 0|\hat{n}_{\widetilde{F}}|0 > (\eta = 0) = 0$, and hence the temperature was equal to zero. So the matter content of the Universe could appear as the final decay product of the primordial vector bosons and the Higgs one created from vacuum, in accord with the Bogoliubov vacuum expectation value

$$a'^2 = \frac{H_0^2\Omega_{\rm rigid}}{a^2} + \sum_{F=h,Q,f,v}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\omega_{F,k}|\beta_{F,k}|^2 B(\mathbf{k},\widetilde{T}_{\rm F}), \tag{20}$$

where $\beta_{F,k}$ are the Bogoliubov coefficients and $B(\mathbf{k},\widetilde{T}_{\rm F}) = \left\{\exp\left[\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2_{F0}a^2(z)} - m_{F0}a(z)}{k_{\rm B}\widetilde{T}_{\rm F}}\right] - 1\right\}^{-1}$ is the distribution function arising due to interactions in (19) [51]. This function coincides with the Boltzmann-Chernikov one [13, 14], when the exponent is greater than unit.

The boson temperature $\widetilde{T}_{\rm F} \sim T_0$ can be estimated in the standard way from the relation between the free length $r_{\rm F} = [n(\widetilde{T}_{\rm F})\sigma_{\rm F\ scat}]^{-1}$, number density $n(\widetilde{T}_{\rm F})$, and cross section $\sigma_{\rm F\ scat}$. If the free length is identified with horizon (17), $r_{\rm F} \simeq \widetilde{d}(a)$, we have the collision integral kinetic equation

$$\widetilde{n}(\widetilde{T}_{\rm F}) \simeq [\widetilde{\sigma}_{\rm F\ scat}(a)\widetilde{d}(a)]^{-1}. \tag{21}$$

accepted in the present-day cosmological models [31, 52].

In [31], the set of arguments was given in favor of that this formula (21), initial data, and SM hep-data give us all cosmological parameters, if one supposes that the rigid state $\rho_{\rm rigid} = p$ dominates for all epochs including the beginning of the Universe when primordial vector bosons and Higgs particles were created from vacuum and their wavelengths coincided with the horizon length, in particular

$$\widetilde{M}^{-1}_{ZI} = [a_{ZI}M_Z]^{-1} \simeq \widetilde{H}^{-1}_{ZI} = a^2_{ZI}(H_0)^{-1} \ \rightarrow \ a_{ZI} = (H_0/M_{Z0})^{1/3} = 2.68\cdot 10^{-15}. \tag{22}$$

One can suppose that the collision integral kinetic equation (21) is valid for estimation of the present-day value of the CMB temperature $\widetilde{T}_{\rm F} \sim T_0$, if CMB is considered as the final decay product of the primordial bosons created from vacuum.

In order to describe the CMB anisotropy, the collision integral kinetic equation (21) can be generalized to the anisotropic decays $T_0 \to T_0 + \triangle T$, $\sigma_{\rm F\ scat}(a) \to \sigma_{\rm F\ scat}(a) + \sigma_{2\gamma}$, so that we obtain a formula

$$\left|\frac{\triangle T}{T_0}\right| \simeq \frac{1}{3}\left|\frac{\sigma_{2\gamma}}{\sigma_{\rm F\ scat}(a)}\right| \sim \alpha^2_{\rm QED} \sim 10^{-5}. \tag{23}$$

that allows us to establish processes that form the CMB anisotropy using the observational value of the CMB anisotropy $\sim 10^{-5}$ [9, 53, 54]. This value testifies to the dominance of the two photon processes. Therefore, the CMB anisotropy revealed in [9, 53, 54] in the region of three peaks $\ell_1 \simeq 220$, $\ell_2 \simeq 546$, and $\ell_3 \simeq 800$ can reflect rather parameters of the primordial bosons and their decay processes, in particular $h \to \gamma\gamma$, $W^+W^- \to \gamma\gamma$, and $ZZ \to \gamma\gamma$, than the ones of matter at the time of recombination, as it is accepted in the ΛCDM model where the scalar metric components are used as dynamical variables [20] which are not compatible with the Poincaré group classification of physical states [25, 26, 28]. The spectrum of the Higgs and vector boson masses m_h, M_Z, M_W can be obtained from the CMB power spectrum using the Gamov identification of the energy of the processes with the product of their redshift z-factors $(z_P + 1)$ and the present-day CMB temperature $T_0 = 2.725$ K $= 2.35 \cdot 10^{-13}$ GeV

$$M_{P0} = T_0(z_P + 1). \tag{24}$$

The z-factors $(z_P + 1)$ of the present day processes energy can be expressed through the redshift

$$(Z_{Pd} + 1) = (z_P + 1)a_{\rm L} \tag{25}$$

of their primordial values $\widetilde{M}_{PI} = M_{P0}\, a_{PI}$, where a_{PI} is defined by Eq. (22) and $a_{\rm L}$ is the universal factor for all processes characterizing the CMB spectrum at the time of its establishment.

The initial data reference redshift $(Z_{Pd} + 1)$ is defined by values of multipole momenta at the CMB anisotropic peaks that can be obtained using the accepted formula [53]

$$\ell_{Pd} = \widetilde{d}(a_{Pd})\widetilde{M_P}(a_{Pd}) = d(a_{Pd})M_{P0} = \frac{a^3_{Pd}}{a^3_{PI}} = (Z_{Pd} + 1)^3, \tag{26}$$

where $\widetilde{d}(a_{Pd}) = a^2_{Pd}H_0^{-1}$ is the conformal horizon (17) at the instances of the two photon processes (P) marked by the cosmological scale factors a_{Pd} and $a^3_{PI} = H_0/M_{P0}$ in accord with Eq. (22).

Using formulae (24) – (26) one can obtain the final formula that expresses the boson spectrum through the power spectrum of the CMB multipole momenta

$$M_{P0} = T_0(z_P + 1) = T_0 a_{\rm L}^{-1}(Z_{Pd} + 1) = T_{\rm L}(Z_{Pd} + 1) = T_{\rm L}\ell^{1/3}_{Pd}, \tag{27}$$

where $T_L \simeq 9.8$GeV is defined by the boson masses: $\ell^{1/3}_{Wd} = \dfrac{M_{W0}}{T_L}$, $\ell^{1/3}_{Zd} = \dfrac{M_{Z0}}{T_L}$, so that

$$\frac{M_Z}{M_W} = 1.134 \approx \left(\frac{\ell_3}{\ell_2}\right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{800}{546}\right)^{1/3} = 1.136. \tag{28}$$

Formula (27) predicts the Higgs mass as

$$m_h = 2M_W\left(\frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}\right)^{1/3} = 2M_W\left(\frac{220}{546}\right)^{1/3} \simeq 118\text{GeV}. \tag{29}$$

This value of the Higgs boson mass is close to the present fit of the LEP experimental data supporting rather low values of the experimental limit $m_h > 114.4$ GeV [55].

THE RELATIVISTIC UNIVERSE SCENARIO PROBLEMS

In context of relativistic physics the topical problems of modern Cosmology are the following:

1. the acoustic explanation of the CMB power spectrum by the dynamical scalar metric component is not compatible with the relativistic classification of physical states, because the latter do not contain this component.
2. the absence of the dynamical scalar field inflation (as it was shown in Eq. (15),
3. the lost of physical meaning of concepts of the "particle mass" M_P and "temperature" $T(a)$ at the limit of small horizon before the Planck epoch, when $H(a) \geq M_P, T(a)$.

We discussed possibilities to solve these problems by the Chernikov-Parker cosmological vacuum creation of vector bosons and the Higgs particle with Chernikov kinetic theory of relativistic gas in the Penrose-Chernikov-Tagirov Dilaton Gravity.

However, in order to realize these possibilities, one should consider the following problems.

1. The Hamiltonian approach to the conformal-invariant unified theory.

Like the Copernican assertion that *we can measure only a difference of coordinates* pointed out the Galilei pathway to the Newton mechanics, the Weyl assertion that *we can measure only a ratio of two intervals* can point out a pathway to one of the conformal-invariant unified theories.

The RU model is based on the Penrose-Chernikov-Tagirov conformal-invariant unified theory [6, 7]

$$S_{\rm RU}[D,\widetilde{F}^{(n)}]=S_{\rm U}[F^{(n)}=e^{nD}\widetilde{F}^{(n)}] \tag{30}$$

where $S_{\rm U}[F^{(n)}]$ coincides with the sum of GR and SM (2) with the initial data given in the CMB frame of reference, where the physical variables and fields are chosen. The CMB frame is given in the space-time with the finite volume $\int d^3x = V_0$ (compatible with the dark sky at night [57]), the geometric interval

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{ds}^{\,2} &= \widetilde{\omega}^2_{(0)}-\widetilde{\omega}^2_{(b)}, && (31)\\
\widetilde{\omega}_{(0)} &= e^{2D}N_d dx^0 = e^{2D}\mathcal{N}d\tau, && (32)\\
\widetilde{\omega}_{(b)} &= \mathbf{e}_{(b)j}(dx^j+N^j dx^0)=\omega^{(3)}_{(b)}+\mathcal{N}_{(b)}d\tau, && (33)
\end{aligned}$$

the unit spatial metric determinant $|\mathbf{e}_{(b)i}|=1$, and the particle masses $m(D)=e^D m_0$. In accord with Einstein's cosmological principle (3) [23] zeroth harmonics of all scalar fields including the dilaton one $\langle D\rangle = V_0^{-1}\int d^3xD=\log a$ are separated $D=\langle D\rangle+\overline{D}$.

Just this separation allows us to identify $\langle D\rangle$ with the logarithm of the cosmological scale factor a (considered in Cosmology as the evolution parameter in the field space of events) and introduce the Misner diffeo-invariant time-interval defined as [56]

$$d\tau=\frac{d\eta}{a^2(\eta)}=dx^0\langle N_d^{-1}\rangle^{-1}\equiv dx^0N_0, \qquad \frac{df}{d\tau}=\partial_\tau f=\left\langle\frac{df}{N_d dx^0}\right\rangle=V_0^{-1}\int d^3x\frac{df}{N_d dx^0}. \tag{34}$$

The action (30) after the separation of cosmological scale factor $a=e^{\langle D\rangle}$ coincides with the corresponding action of the type of (5) obtained from (2). In particular, the Dilaton Gravity (30) with a set of free scalar fields D,ϕ,Q takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{\rm S}_{\rm RU}[\widetilde{g}|D,\phi,Q] &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{6}R(g)+\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi+\partial_\mu Q\partial^\mu Q\right]\Bigg|_{g=e^{2D}\widetilde{g}} && (35)\\
&\equiv \widetilde{S}^{\rm S}_{\rm RU}+\underbrace{V_0\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{\tau_0}d\tau[-\langle\partial_\tau D\rangle^2+\langle\partial_\tau\phi\rangle^2+\langle\partial_\tau Q\rangle^2]}_{zeroth-mode\ contribution}=\widetilde{S}^{\rm S}_{\rm RU}+S^{\rm S}_{\rm zero}, && (36)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{S}^{\rm S}_{\rm RU} &= \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{\tau_0}dx^0\int d^3xN_{\rm d}\left[-v^2_{\overline{D}}+\frac{v^2_{(a)(b)}}{6}-e^{4D}\frac{R^{(3)}(\mathbf{e})+8e^{-D/2}\triangle e^{D/2}}{6}\right] && (37)\\
&+ \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{\tau_0}dx^0\int d^3xN_{\rm d}\left[v^2_{\overline{\phi}}-\left(\partial_{(a)}\overline{\phi}\right)^2+v^2_{\overline{Q}}-\left(\partial_{(a)}\overline{Q}\right)^2\right] && (38)
\end{aligned}$$

is the action of the local field variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{\overline{D}} &= \frac{1}{N_d}\left[(\partial_0-N^l\partial_l)\overline{D}-\frac{1}{3}\partial_lN^l\right], && (39)\\
v_{(ab)} &= \frac{1}{2N_d}\left(\mathbf{e}_{(a)i}v^i_{(b)}+\mathbf{e}_{(b)i}v^i_{(a)}\right), && (40)\\
v_{(a)i} &= \frac{1}{N_d}\left[(\partial_0-N^l\partial_l)\mathbf{e}_{(a)i}+\frac{1}{3}\mathbf{e}_{(a)i}\partial_lN^l-\mathbf{e}_{(a)l}\partial_iN^l\right], && (41)\\
v_{\overline{\phi}} &= \frac{1}{N_d}\left[\partial_0\overline{\phi}-N^k\partial_k\overline{\phi}\right] && (42)\\
v_{\overline{Q}} &= \frac{1}{N_d}\left[\partial_0\overline{Q}-N^k\partial_k\overline{Q}\right] && (43)
\end{aligned}$$

are velocities of the metric components and scalar fields, $\triangle\psi = \partial_i(\mathbf{e}^i_{(a)}\mathbf{e}^j_{(a)}\partial_j\psi)$ is the covariant Laplace operator, ${}^{(3)}R(\mathbf{e})$ is a three-dimensional curvature expressed in terms of triads $\mathbf{e}_{(a)i}$:

$$ {}^{(3)}R(\mathbf{e}) = -2\partial_i\left[\mathbf{e}^i_{(b)}\sigma_{(c)|(b)(c)}\right] - \sigma_{(c)|(b)(c)}\sigma_{(a)|(b)(a)} + \sigma_{(c)|(d)(f)}\sigma_{(f)|(d)(c)}. \tag{44} $$

Here

$$ \sigma_{(a)|(b)(c)} = \mathbf{e}^j_{(c)}\nabla_i\mathbf{e}_{(a)k}\mathbf{e}^k_{(b)} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_{(a)j}\left[\partial_{(b)}\mathbf{e}^j_{(c)} - \partial_{(c)}\mathbf{e}^j_{(b)}\right] \tag{45} $$

are the coefficients of the spin-connection (see [26]), $\nabla_i\mathbf{e}_{(a)j} = \partial_i\mathbf{e}_{(a)j} - \Gamma^k_{ij}\mathbf{e}_{(a)k}$ are covariant derivatives, and $\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^k_{(b)}(\partial_i\mathbf{e}_{(b)j} + \partial_j\mathbf{e}_{(b)i})$.

The Wigner-Poincaré classification excludes physical states with negative contribution of the kinetic energy of the type of local dilaton $-v^2_{\overline{D}}$ in action (35). This means that one can impose the additional constraint of zero canonical momenta of the local dilaton field

$$ p_{\overline{D}} = -2v_{\overline{D}} \simeq 0. \tag{46} $$

The last constraint, in the Dirac approach to GR [25, 33], means that the velocity of the spatial volume element is equal to zero, and it leads to the positive Hamiltonian density.

This Dirac constraint (46) is the consequence of the relativistic classification of physical states in the theory (30). This classification is not compatible with both the ΛCDM model, and the Inflationary Model [35, 36]. In these models the CMB (treated as an object of relativistic transformations) is described by the dynamical scalar component (forbidden by the relativistic classification of physical states).

The calculation of all canonical momenta including (46) and

$$ \begin{aligned} \widetilde{p}_{(ab)} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}^i_{(a)}\widetilde{p}_{(b)i} + \mathbf{e}^i_{(b)}\widetilde{p}_{(a)i}) = \frac{1}{3}v_{(ab)}, && (47)\\ \widetilde{p}^i_{(b)} &= \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{e}^i_{(a)}v_{(ab)}, \quad p_{\overline{\phi}} = 2v_{\overline{\phi}}, \quad p_{\overline{Q}} = 2v_{\overline{Q}}, && (48)\\ P_D &= 2V_0\partial_\tau\langle D\rangle, \quad P_{\langle\phi\rangle} = 2V_0\langle\partial_\tau\phi\rangle, \quad P_{\langle Q\rangle} = 2V_0\langle\partial_\tau Q\rangle && (49) \end{aligned} $$

allows us to represent the action (30) in the Hamiltonian form [26, 28]

$$ \begin{aligned} S^S_{\rm DG} &= \int dx^0\int d^3x\left[\widetilde{p}^i_{(b)}\partial_0\mathbf{e}^i_{(b)} - p_{\overline{D}}\partial_0\overline{D} + p_{\overline{\phi}}\partial_0\overline{\phi} + p_{\overline{Q}}\partial_0\overline{Q} - N_d T_{\rm d} - \mathsf{C}\right] && (50)\\ &+ \int\left\{P_{\langle Q\rangle}d\langle Q\rangle + P_{\langle\phi\rangle}d\langle\phi\rangle - P_{\langle D\rangle}d\langle D\rangle + \left[\frac{P^2_{\langle D\rangle} - P^2_{\langle\phi\rangle} - P^2_{\langle Q\rangle}}{4V_0}\right]N_0(x^0)dx^0\right\}, && (51) \end{aligned} $$

where

$$ T_{\rm d} = \frac{4}{3}e^{7D/2}\triangle e^{D/2} + \sum_{I=0,2,3,4,6} e^{ID}\mathcal{T}_I \tag{52} $$

is the sum of local field energy densities $\mathcal{T}_I$ including the gravity density defined in [25, 26, 28], and

$$ \mathsf{C} = N_{(b)}T^0_{(b)} + \lambda_0 p_{\overline{D}} + \lambda_{(a)}\partial_k\mathbf{e}^k_{(a)} \tag{53} $$

is a sum of the Dirac constraints with corresponding Lagrangian multipliers, including three first class constraints

$$ T_{(0)(a)} = -p_{\overline{D}}\partial_{(a)}\overline{D} + \frac{1}{3}\partial_{(a)}(p_{\overline{D}}\overline{D}) + 2p_{(b)(c)}\sigma_{(b)|(a)(c)} - \partial_{(b)}p_{(b)(a)} + p_{\overline{\phi}}\partial_{(b)}\overline{\phi} + p_{\overline{Q}}\partial_{(b)}\overline{Q}, \tag{54} $$

and the fourth second class ones

$$ \begin{aligned} \partial_k\mathbf{e}^k_{(a)} &= 0, && (55)\\ p_{\overline{D}} &= 0 \quad\rightarrow\quad \partial_\tau e^{3\overline{D}} = \partial_{(b)}\left(e^{3\overline{D}}\mathcal{N}_{(b)}\right), && (56) \end{aligned} $$

where $\mathcal{N}_{(b)} = N_{(b)}/N_0$, N_0 is given by Eq. (34). The latter (56) is the analog of the Dirac condition of the minimal 3-dimensional hyper-surface [33] in GR that gives a positive value of the Hamiltonian density.

We can see that the accepted Einstein Eqs.

$$-e_{(\nu)\alpha}\frac{\delta S^{\rm S}_{\rm RU}}{\delta e_{(\mu)\alpha}} \equiv T_{(\nu)(\mu)} = 0 \tag{57}$$

coincide with the Einstein equations in infinite volume beside the energy constraint

$$-\frac{\delta S^{\rm S}_{\rm RU}}{\delta N_{\rm d}} \;=\; \frac{P^2_{\langle D\rangle} - P^2_{\langle \phi\rangle} - P^2_{\langle Q\rangle}}{4N_{\rm d}V_0^2} - N_{\rm d}T_{\rm d} = 0. \tag{58}$$

One can see that the Einstein equations (57) play a role of a set geometrical constraints, rather than the energy-momentum tensor components. In particular, the energy constraint (58) shows us how the Einstein cosmological principle (3) solves the problem of energy in finite space-time by averaging energy constraint (58) over the volume V_0. This averaging defines the Universe energy as one of the constraint-shell values of the evolution parameter momentum $P_{\langle D\rangle}$

$$\mathsf{E}^2_{\rm U} \;\equiv\; P^2_{\langle D\rangle} = P^2_{\langle \phi\rangle} + P^2_{\langle Q\rangle} + 4\left[\int d^3x\sqrt{T_{\rm d}}\right]^2 \tag{59}$$

in accord with the Wigner-Poincaré classification of relativistic physical states in the CMB frame. This definition leads to the luminosity-distance – redshift relation (10) in the case of the rigid state dominance ($P_{\langle Q\rangle} \gg \int d^3x\sqrt{T_{\rm d}}$)

$$\ell(z) = H_0\frac{r(a)}{a^2} = \frac{V_0}{a^2}\int\limits_0^{a(z)=(z+1)^{-1}} \frac{d\overline{a}^2}{\mathsf{E}_{\rm U}} = z + \frac{z^2}{2}. \tag{60}$$

This luminosity-distance – redshift relation does not contradict to the recent SN data [38] in the framework of the Conformal Cosmology [39, 40, 41]. The substitution of the energy (59) into the energy constraint (58) gives us the diffeo-invariant local lapse function

$$\mathcal{N} \;=\; \langle T_{\rm d}^{1/2}\rangle T_{\rm d}^{-1/2} \tag{61}$$

in the interval (33), where the dilaton field $\overline{D}$ is defined by equations

$$-\frac{\delta S}{\delta D} \;=\; 0 \quad\Rightarrow\quad \partial_\tau P_{\langle D\rangle} = \langle T_D\rangle, \quad T_D - \langle T_D\rangle = 0, \tag{62}$$

$$T_D \;=\; \frac{2}{3}\left\{7\mathcal{N}e^{7D/2}\triangle e^{D/2} + e^{D/2}\triangle\left[\mathcal{N}e^{7D/2}\right]\right\} + \mathcal{N}\sum_{I=0,2,3,4,6} Ie^{ID}\mathcal{T}_I. \tag{63}$$

In the case of the scalar fields (massless or massive) with initial data (14) the theory is reduced to the version of the Universe considered before in previous Section with cosmological creation of the scalar fields (massless or massive ones if there are potentials), where the collision integrals and temperature is completely determined by the Einstein equations, their initial data, and constraints (55) – (63). It was shown that the cosmological perturbation theory for $(e^D, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_{(b)})$ is the cosmological generalization of the Schwarzschild solution [25].

In this case the Universe is a factory of scalar particles created in the homogeneous background of their zeroth modes. The densities (52) and (63) keep only component $I = 2$ of the radiation or massive one $I = 3$. Their parameters can be approximately described by the collision integral (21). The problem is to consider this scenario in the SM.

2. The initial data Higgs effect in Cosmology.

In DG, the Higgs effect is provided by the initial data (14). In particular, the $U(1)$ model in the cosmological approximation $D \simeq \langle D\rangle = \log a$ is given by the action and Lagrangian

$$S_1 \;=\; \int d\eta\int d^3x\mathcal{L}_1, \tag{64}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \;=\; -\frac{1}{4}[\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu]^2 + a^2|(\partial_\mu\phi - ieA_\mu)\phi|^2 + \overline{\widetilde{\psi}}(i\hat{\partial} + e\hat{A} + a|\phi|)\widetilde{\psi} - a^4\mathsf{V}_{eff}(|\phi|). \tag{65}$$

After transition to physical variables $\phi = e^{i\chi}|\phi|, \widetilde{\psi} = e^{-i\chi}f, A\mu = B_\mu - (1/e)\partial_\mu\chi$ this $U(1)$-Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{4}[\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu]^2 + e^2a^2|\phi|^2(B_\mu)^2 + a^2(\partial_\mu|\phi|)^2 + \overline{f}(i\hat{\partial} + e\hat{B} + a|\phi|)f - a^4\mathsf{V}_{eff}(|\phi|), \tag{66}$$

where $a|\phi| = |\widetilde{\phi}| = a\langle\phi\rangle + \widetilde{h}/\sqrt{2}$ and $\int d^3xh(\eta,x) = 0$. The consistency conditions

$$\mathsf{V}_{eff}(\phi_{\rm I}) = 0, \quad \left.\frac{d\mathsf{V}_{eff}(\langle\,\phi\rangle)}{d\langle\,\phi\rangle}\right|_{\langle\,\phi\rangle=\phi_{\rm I}} = 0. \tag{67}$$

should be imposed in order to keep free dynamics of the zero component $\langle\phi\rangle$ as a solution of the variational equation $[a^2\langle\phi'\rangle]' = 0$ with the initial data $\langle\phi'\rangle(\eta = 0) = 0$ and $\langle\phi\rangle(\eta = 0) = \phi_{\rm I}$ [34].

The problem is to fulfill this analysis in SM.

3. Kinetic theory of the primordial particle vacuum creation.

To get a more accurate estimate of the Higgs mass and a better description of the CMB power spectrum within the model under consideration, one has to perform an involved analysis of the kinetic equation [13] for nonstationary processes of primordial particle creation and subsequent decays.

In particular, the lifetime η_L of product bosons in the early Universe in dimensionless units $\tau_L = \eta_L/\eta_I$, where $\eta_I = (2H_I)^{-1}$, can be estimated by using the equation of state $a^2(\eta) = a_I^2(1+\tau_L)$ and the W-boson lifetime within the Standard Model. Specifically, we have

$$1 + \tau_L = \frac{2H_I\sin^2\theta_{(W)}}{\alpha_{\rm QED}M_W(\eta_L)} = \frac{2\sin^2\theta_{(W)}}{\alpha_{\rm QED}\sqrt{1+\tau_L}}, \tag{68}$$

where $\theta_{(W)}$ is the Weinberg angle, $\alpha_{\rm QED} = 1/137$ is the fine-structure constant, and $M_I/H_I \simeq 1$.

From the solution to Eq. (68), $\tau_L + 1 = \left(2\sin^2\theta_{(W)}/\alpha_{\rm QED}\right)^{2/3} \simeq 16$ it follows that the lifetime of product bosons is an order of magnitude longer than the Universe relaxation time:

$$\tau_L = \eta_L/\eta_I \simeq 16 - 1 = 15. \tag{69}$$

The problem is to obtain the parameters of the diffusion reaction system arising in this case from the Standard Model computing the relevant cross sections and decay rates.

4. Baryon-antibaryon asymmetry of matter in the Universe.

In SM, in each of the three generations of leptons (e,μ,τ) and color quarks, we have four fermion doublets – in all there are $n_L = 12$ of them. Each of 12 fermion doublets interacts with the triplet of non-Abelian fields $A^1 = (W^{(-)} + W^{(+)})/\sqrt{2}$, $A^2 = i(W^{(-)} - W^{(+)})/\sqrt{2}$, and $A^3 = Z/\cos\theta_{(W)}$, the corresponding coupling constant being $g = e/\sin\theta_{(W)}$. It is well known that, because of a triangle anomaly, W- and Z-boson interaction with lefthanded fermion doublets $\psi_L^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n_L$, leads to nonconservation of the number of fermions of each type (i) [58, 59, 60],

$$\partial_\mu j_{L\mu}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}{\rm Tr}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^*\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{70}$$

where $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = -iF_{\mu\nu}^a g_W\tau_a/2$ is the strength of the vector fields, $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g\epsilon^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$.

Taking the integral of the equality in (70) with respect to conformal time and the three-dimensional variable x, we can find a relation between the change

$$\int\limits_{\eta_I}^{\eta_0} d\eta \int d^3x\partial_\mu j_{L\mu}^{(i)} = F^{(i)}(\eta_0) - F^{(i)}(\eta_I) = \Delta F^{(i)} \tag{71}$$

of the fermion number $F^{(i)} = \int d^3xj_0^{(i)}$ and the Chern-Simons functional $F_{\mu\nu}{}^*\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}$, so that after integration Eq. (70) takes the form

$$\Delta F^{(i)} = N_{CS} \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n_L. \tag{72}$$

The equality in (72) is considered as a selection rule – that is, the fermion number changes identically for all fermion types: $N_{CS} = \Delta L^e = \Delta L^\mu = \Delta L^\tau = \Delta B/3$; at the same time, the change in the baryon charge B and the change in the lepton charge $L = L^e + L^\mu + L^\tau$ are related to each other in such a way that $B - L$ is conserved, while $B + L$ is not invariant. Upon taking the sum of the equalities in (72) over all doublets, one can obtain $\Delta(B + L) = 12N_{CS}$ [60].

We can evaluate the expectation value of the Chern-Simons functional (72) (in the lowest order of perturbation theory in the coupling constant) in the Bogoliubov vacuum $b|0>= 0$. Specifically, we have

$$N_{CS} = N_{\rm W} \equiv -\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\int_0^{\eta_L} d\eta \int d^3x \,\langle 0|{\rm Tr}\hat{F}^{\rm W}_{\mu\nu}{}^*\hat{F}^{\rm W}_{\mu\nu}|0\rangle, \tag{73}$$

where η_L is the W-boson lifetime, and $N_{\rm W}$ is the contribution of the primordial W boson. The integral over the conformal spacetime bounded by three-dimensional hypersurfaces $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = \eta_L$ is given by $N_{\rm W} = 2\alpha_W V_0 \int_0^{\eta_L} d\eta \int\limits_0^\infty dk|k|^3 R_{\rm W}(k,\eta)$, where $\alpha_W = \alpha_{\rm QED}/\sin^2\theta_W$ and $R_{\rm W} = \frac{i}{2}{}_b < 0|b^+b^+ - b^-b^-|0>_b = -\sinh(2r(\eta_L))\sin(2\theta(\eta_L))$ is the Bogoliubov condensate [31] that is specified by relevant solutions to the Bogoliubov equations. Upon a numerical calculation of this integral, we can estimate the expectation value of the Chern-Simons functional in the state of primordial bosons.

At the vector-boson-lifetime values of $\eta_L = 15$, this yields the following result at $n_\gamma = 2,402 \times T^3/\pi^2$

$$\frac{N_{CS}}{V_0} = \frac{N_W}{V_0} = 4\alpha_W T^3 \times 1.44 = 0.8\; n_\gamma. \tag{74}$$

where n_γ is the number density of photons forming Cosmic Microwave Background radiation. On this basis, the violation of the fermion-number density in the cosmological model being considered can be estimated as [31, 39] $\Delta F^{(i)}/V_0 = N_{CS}/V_0 = 0.8n_\gamma$.

According to SM, there is the CKM-mixing that leads to CP nonconservation, so that the cosmological evolution and this nonconservation freeze the fermion number at $\eta = \eta_L$. This leads to the baryon-number density [60, 61] $n_{\rm b}(\eta_L) = X_{\rm CP}\Delta F^{(i)}/V_0 \simeq X_{\rm CP}n_\gamma(\eta_L)$, where the factor $X_{\rm CP}$ is determined by the superweak interaction of d and s quarks, which is responsible for CP violation experimentally observed in K-meson decays [62].

From the ratio of the number of baryons to the number of photons, one can deduce an estimate of the superweak-interaction coupling constant: $X_{\rm CP} \sim 10^{-9}$. Thus, the evolution of the Universe, primary vector bosons, and the aforementioned superweak interaction [62] lead to baryon-antibaryon asymmetry of the Universe

$$\frac{n_{\rm b}(\eta_L)}{n_\gamma(\eta_L)} \simeq X_{\rm CP} = 10^{-9}. \tag{75}$$

Thus, the primordial bosons before their decays polarize the Dirac fermion vacuum and give the baryon asymmetry frozen by the CP – violation so that for billion photons there is only one baryon.

The problem is to show that the Universe matter content considered as the final decay product of primordial bosons is in agreement with observational data [31].

5. Nucleosynthesis in the Early Universe.

Calculation of the primordial helium abundance [40, 63] takes into account weak interactions, the Boltzmann factor, (n/p) $e^{\triangle m/T} \sim 1/6$, where $\triangle m$ is the neutron-proton mass difference, which is the same for both SC and CC, $\triangle m_{SC}/T_{SC} = \triangle m_{CC}/T_{CC} = (1+z)^{-1}m_0/T_0$, and the square root dependence of the z-factor on the measurable time-interval.

In SC, where the measurable time-interval is identified with the Friedmann time, this square root dependence of the z-factor is explained by the radiation dominance, whereas in Conformal Cosmology, where the measurable time-interval is identified with the conformal time η, the square root dependence of the z-factor is explained by the dominant rigid state $(1+z)^{-1} = \sqrt{1 + 2H_0(\eta - \eta_0)}$. Therefore, at first sight the Conformal Cosmology model with dominant rigid state does not contradict to the primordial helium abundance [40, 63]. This rigid state describes both the cosmological creation of primordial particles with the CMB power spectrum (as we have seen above) and the Luminosity-distance – redshift relation [38] in CC [39, 40, 41]. Therefore, the problem of nucleosynthesis in the Conformal Cosmology should be the subject of further study.

6. Large-scale structure in the Early Universe.

The investigation of the large-scale structure in the Early Universe is one of the highlights of the present-day Cosmology with far-reaching implications.

In particular, the comparison of the cosmological perturbation theory in the ΛCDM Model with the Hamiltonian approach to the same cosmological perturbation theory [25] reveals essential differences of these approaches and their physical consequences.

In order to demonstrate these consequences, we consider the case of integrable diffeo-invariant spacial coordinates, when the simplex components in interval (33) $\mathbf{e}_{(b)i}dx^i = \omega^{(3)}_{(b)} = dx_{(b)}$ are total differentials. The latter means that the coefficients of the spin-connection are equal to zero $\sigma_{(a)|(b)(c)} = \mathbf{e}_{(a)j}\left[\partial_{(b)}\mathbf{e}^j_{(c)} - \partial_{(c)}\mathbf{e}^j_{(b)}\right] = 0$ together with the three-dimensional curvature $R^{(3)} = 0$ in accord with observational data [54]. In this case, transverse gravitons cannot be oscillators and the renormalizablity problem should be reconsidered in both GR and DG. Eqs. (40) and (41) take the form

$$p_{(b)(a)} = \frac{1}{3}v_{(ab)} = \frac{1}{6\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{2}{3}\delta_{(a)(b)}\partial_{(c)}\mathcal{N}_{(c)} - \partial_{(a)}\mathcal{N}_{(b)} - \partial_{(b)}\mathcal{N}_{(a)}\right). \tag{76}$$

In this case, the transverse components of the shift vector can be defined by (54)

$$\mathcal{T}_{(0)(a)} \;=\; -\partial_{(b)}p_{(b)(a)} + p_{\overline{\phi}}\partial_{(b)}\overline{\phi} + p_{\overline{Q}}\partial_{(b)}\overline{Q} = 0, \tag{77}$$

while the shift vector longitudinal component is defined by the constraint (56) $\partial_\tau e^{3\overline{D}} = \partial_{(b)}\left(e^{3\overline{D}}\mathcal{N}_{(b)}\right)$. The lapse function and dilaton are determined as solutions of Eqs. (52), (61), (62), and (63). Solutions of these Eqs., in the first order in the Newton coupling constant, take forms [25, 26]

$$e^{\overline{D}/2} \;=\; 1 + \frac{1}{2}\int d^3y\left[D_{(+)}(x,y)\overline{T}^{(\mu)}_{(+)}(y) + D_{(-)}(x,y)\overline{T}^{(\mu)}_{(-)}(y)\right], \tag{78}$$

$$\mathcal{N}e^{7\overline{D}/2} \;=\; 1 - \frac{1}{2}\int d^3y\left[D_{(+)}(x,y)\overline{T}^{(\nu)}_{(+)}(y) + D_{(-)}(x,y)\overline{T}^{(\nu)}_{(-)}(y)\right], \tag{79}$$

where $D_{(\pm)}(x,y)$ are the Green functions satisfying the equations

$$[\pm m^2_{(\pm)} - \triangle]D_{(\pm)}(x,y) = \delta^3(x-y), \tag{80}$$

$$m^2_{(\pm)} = \frac{3(1+z)^2}{4}\left[14(\beta\pm1)\Omega_{(0)}(z)\mp\Omega_{(1)}(z)\right]H_0^2, \tag{81}$$

$$\beta = \sqrt{1+[\Omega_{(2)}(z)-14\Omega_{(1)}(z)]/[98\Omega_{(0)}(z)]}, \tag{82}$$

$$\Omega_{(n)}(z) = \sum_{I=0,4,6,8,12} I^n(1+z)^{2-I/2}\Omega_I, \tag{83}$$

$\Omega_{I=0,4,6,8,12}$ are partial density of states: rigid, radiation, matter, curvature, Λ-term, respectively; and H_0 is Hubble parameter,

$$\overline{T}^{(\mu)}_{(\pm)} \;=\; \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(0)} \mp 7\beta[7\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(0)} - \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(1)}], \tag{84}$$

$$\overline{T}^{(\nu)}_{(\pm)} \;=\; [7\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(0)} - \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(1)}] \pm (14\beta)^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(0)} \tag{85}$$

are the local currents.

In the case of point mass distribution in a finite volume V_0 with the zeroth pressure and the density

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(0)}(x) = \frac{\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{(1)}(x)}{6} \equiv \frac{3}{4a^2}M\left[\delta^3(x-y) - \frac{1}{V_0}\right], \tag{86}$$

solutions (78), (79) take the Schwarzschild -type form

$$e^{\overline{D}/2} \;=\; 1 + \frac{r_g}{4r}\left[\frac{1+7\beta}{2}e^{-m_{(+)}(z)r} + \frac{1-7\beta}{2}\cos m_{(-)}(z)r\right]_{H_0=0} = 1 + \frac{r_g}{4r},$$

$$\mathcal{N}e^{7\overline{D}/2} \;=\; 1 - \frac{r_g}{4r}\left[\frac{14\beta+1}{28\beta}e^{-m_{(+)}(z)r} + \frac{14\beta-1}{28\beta}\cos m_{(-)}(z)r\right]_{H_0=0} = 1 - \frac{r_g}{4r},$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{25/49} \simeq 1.01/\sqrt{2}$, $m_{(+)} = 3m_{(-)}, m_{(-)} = H_0\sqrt{(1+z)\Omega_M\, 3/2}$. These solutions have spatial oscillations and the nonzero shift of the coordinate origin.

One can see that in the infinite volume limit $H_0 = 0$, $a = 1$ these solutions coincide with the isotropic version of the Schwarzschild solutions in the DG: $e^{\overline{D}/2} = 1 + \frac{r_g}{4r}$, $\mathcal{N}e^{7\overline{D}/2} = 1 - \frac{r_g}{4r}$, $N^k = 0$. However, the analysis of the exact equations (52), (61), (62), and (63) shows us that any nonzero cosmological density $\langle T_{\rm d}^{1/2}\rangle > 0$ forbids negative values of the lapse function (52) $\mathcal{N} = \langle T_{\rm d}^{1/2}\rangle / T_{\rm d}^{1/2} > 0$ [25, 26, 28].

It is of interest to find an exact solution of these equations for different equations of state.

There are the following differences of this relativistic perturbation theory from the accepted cosmological perturbation theory for $e^{\overline{D}/2} = 1 - \Psi/2$, $\mathcal{N}e^{3\overline{D}} = 1 + \Phi, N_k = 0, h_{ij} = 0$ and $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$ [19, 36]. The ΛCDM Model treats the scalar metric component as a dynamic variable, omits the decomposition of the potential part of the energy density, that leads to spacial oscillations and the large scale structure of the Universe, chooses the gauge with the zero shift vector, and destroys the Hamiltonian approach by the double counting of the zeroth Fourier-harmonics of the scalar metric component [25].

7. Luminosity-distance – redshift relation in CC.

Assuming that supernovae type Ia (SNe Ia) are standard candles one could use them to test cosmological theories. The Hubble Space Telescope team analyzed 186 SNe Ia[38] to test the Standard Cosmological model (SC) associated with expanded lengths in the Universe and evaluate its parameters. The problem is to use the same sample to determine parameters of Conformal Cosmological model [39, 40, 41].

CONCLUSION

After realization of this program one can expect that DG and the Standard Model supplemented with a free scalar field Q in the CMB reference frame with the initial data (14) do not contradict the following scenario of the evolution of the Universe within conformal cosmology [31]:

$\eta \sim 10^{-12}s$, creation of vector bosons from a "vacuum";
$10^{-12}s < \eta < 10^{-11} \div 10^{-10}s$, formation of baryon-antibaryon asymmetry;
$\eta \sim 10^{-10}s$, decay of vector bosons;
$10^{-10}s < \eta < 10^{11}s$, primordial chemical evolution of matter;
$\eta \sim 10^{11}s$, recombination or separation of cosmic microwave background radiation;
$\eta \sim 10^{15}s$, formation of galaxies;
$\eta > 10^{17}s$, terrestrial experiments and evolution of supernovae.

The relativistic physics gives us the Foundations of Relativistic Cosmology of the Universe as the historically existing system of classification of observational and experimental facts in physics and astrophysics.

There are tendencies of modern cosmology to ignore these principles, in particular to replace the initial data by the fundamental parameters of the motion equations. However, this replacement leads to contradictions in acceptable modern models in applying mathematical tools of the type of the relativistic classification of physical states, or the Hamiltonian method because the latter were developed especially to solve equations with the initial data.

Nevertheless, ignoring relativistic classification of physical states and initial data, and confusing the gauge transformations with the frame ones [19], modern cosmological models [10, 35, 36] treat the CMB motion with the velocity 368 km/s to Leo with respect to the frame of reference of the Earth observer as object of relativistic transformations of the type of a change of the frame. This change of the frame leads to a change of the measurable parameters of the CMB temperature dipole component, i.e. initial data.

If the CMB and the Universe are gauge-invariant objects of the relativistic transformations, this means that the Universe as a whole is an object of relativistic physics as a theory of initial data, including Poincaré group, its Wigner representations in the form of the frame-covariant and gauge-invariant physical states, the Nöther theorem gauge constraints, their consequences as derivation of the minimal field theories of type of GR and SM, and gauge-invariant solutions of the field equations supplemented by the cosmological Initial Data given at the beginning, when there were nothing but homogeneous scalar fields. What is Origin of these Initial Data? What are a group of their transformations, irreducible unitary representations, the Nöther theorem gauge constraints, "field theories", and their physical consequences?

---

* Electronic address: pervushin@theor.jinr.ru

[1] D. Hilbert, *Die Grundlangen der Physik*,Nachrichten von der Kön. Ges. der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl., Heft, **3**, 395 (1915).
[2] A. Einstein: *Die Gründlange der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, Ann. d. Phys., **49**, 796 (1916).
[3] E.P. Wigner, Annals of Math. **40**, 149-204 (1939).
[4] N.N. Bogoliubov, A.A. Logunov, A.I. Oksak, I.T. Todorov, *General Principles of Quantum Field Theory*, (Moscow, Nauka, 1987) (in Russian).
[5] V.I. Ogievetsky, I.V. Polubarinov, Ann. Phys. (NY), **25**, 358 (1963);
V.I. Ogievetsky, I.V. Polubarinov, Nouvo Cim., **23**, 1273 (1962);
V.I. Ogievetsky, I.V. Polubarinov, ZhETF, **45**, 237, 709, 966, (1962); **46** 1048 (1964).
[6] R. Penrose, Relativity, Groups and Topology, (Gordon and Breach, London 1964).
[7] N.A. Chernikov, E.A. Tagirov, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **9**, 109 (1968).
[8] M.K. Volkov, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **49**, 202 (1968);
C.J. Isham, Abdus Salam, and J. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. **D 3**, 1805 (1971).
[9] D.N. Spergel,*et al.*, Asrophys. J. Suppl. **148** 175 (2003); [astro-ph/0302209].
[10] M. Giovannini, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **D14**, 363 (2005); [astro-ph/0412601].
[11] B.M. Barbashov and V.N. Pervushin, Concepts of Physics, **5**, I (2008).
[12] N.A. Chernikov, Doklady AN SSSR. **112**, 1030 (1957) (Presented by V.A. Fock);
N.A. Chernikov, Doklady AN SSSR. **114**, 530 (1957) (Presented by V.A. Fock).
[13] N.A. Chernikov, Phys. Lett. **5**, 115 (1963);
N.A. Chernikov, Acta. Phys. Polonica, **23**, 629 (1963);
N.A. Chernikov, Acta. Phys. Polonica, **26**, 1069 (1964);
N.A. Chernikov, Acta. Phys. Polonica, **27**, 465 (1964).
[14] Ingo Muller, *A History of Thermodynamics: The Doctrine of Energy and Entropy* Springer Published 2007/01.
[15] E.A. Tagirov and N.A. Chernikov, Preprint P2-3777, JINR, 1967;
K.A. Bronnikov, E.A. Tagirov, Preprint P2-3777, JINR, 1968;
[16] L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **183** 1057 (1969).
[17] Ya.B. Zeldovich, A.A. Starobinsky, Yad. Fiz. **61**, 2161 (1971).
[18] A.A. Grib, S.G. Mamaev and V. M. Mostepanenko, "Quantum Effects in Strong External Fields", Energoatomizdat, Moscow, (1988).
[19] E. Lifshitz, Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz. **16**, 587 (1946);
J.M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 1882 (1980).
[20] V.F. Mukhanov, H.A. Feldman, R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. **215** 203 (1992).
[21] S.L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. **22** 579 (1961); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** 1264 (1967);
A. Salam, *The standard model*, Almqvist and Wikdells, Stockholm 1969. In *Elementary Particle Theory*, ed. N. Svartholm, p.367.
[22] A. Guth, in Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Colloquium on Physical Cosmology, Irvine, California, March 27-28 (1992).
[23] A. Einstein, Sitzungsber. d. Berl. Akad. **1** 147 (1917).
[24] A.A. Friedmann, Z. Phys. **10** 377 (1922); Z. Phys., **21** 306 (1924);
A.A. Friedmann, "The Universe as Space and Time", Moscow: Nauka, 1965 (in Russian).
[25] B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, Phys. Lett. **B 633** 458 (2006).
[26] B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A 21** 5957 (2006); Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4** 171 (2007).
[27] V.N. Pervushin, V.A. Zinchuk, Phys. Atom. Nucl., **70**, 3, 593 (2007); [gr-qc/0601067].
[28] Ł.A. Glinka, V.N. Pervushin, Concepts of Physics, **5** 31 (2008).
[29] J.V. Narlikar, Space Sci. Rev. **50** 523 (1989).
[30] V.N. Pervushin and V.I. Smirichinski, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** 6191 (1999).
[31] V. Pervushin, Acta Physica Slovakia, 53 (2003) 237; D.B. Blaschke, *et al.*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **67** 1050 (2004).
[32] J.A. Wheeler, in *Batelle Rencontres: 1967, Lectures in Mathematics and Physics,* edited by C. DeWitt and J.A. Wheeler , (New York, 1968);
B.C. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev.* **160**, 1113 (1967).
[33] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A 246**, 333 (1958); Phys. Rev. **114**, 924 (1959).
[34] A.B. Arbuzov, Ł.A. Glinka, V.N. Pervushin, arXiv:0705.4672v6.
[35] A.D. Linde, *Elementary Particle Physics and Inflation Cosmology*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1990).
[36] V.F. Mukhanov, Physical Foundations of Cosmology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
[37] A.G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **116** 1009 (1998);
S. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. **517** 565 (1999).
[38] A.D. Riess, L.-G. Strolger, J.Tonry *et al.*, Astrophys. J., **607** 665 (2004).
[39] D. Behnke *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 530** 20 (2002).
[40] D. Behnke, *Conformal Cosmology Approach to the Problem of Dark Matter*, PhD Thesis, Rostock Report

MPG-VT-UR 248/04 (2004).

[41] A.F. Zakharov, A.A. Zakharova, V.N. Pervushin, astro-ph/0611639.

[42] H. Weyl, Sitzungsber. d. Berl. Akad., 465 (1918).

[43] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc.R.Soc. Lond., **A 333** 403 (1973).

[44] V. Pervushin et al., Phys. Lett. B **365** 35 (1996).

[45] M. Pawlowski et al., Phys. Lett. B **444** 293 (1998).

[46] R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A. Linde and A. Van Proeyen A., Class. Quant. Grav. 17 (2000) 4269.

[47] V. Pervushin, V. Tkach, and V. Zinchuk, (SQS'03): Proc. of Intern. Workshop (Dubna, July 24-29, 2003) edited by E. Ivanov, A. Pashnev, p.90 (2004).

[48] A.G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **560** 49 (2001).

[49] M. Tegmark, Phys. Rev. **D 66** 103507 (2002); [astro-ph/0101354].

[50] H.-P. Pavel, V.N. Pervushin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 14**, 2885 (1999).

[51] S.A. Smolyansky, *et al.* "Collision integrals in the kinetic of vacuum particle creation in strong fields", Proc. of the Conf. "Progress in Nonequilibrium Green's Functions", Dresden, Germany, 19-23 Aug. 2002, Eds. M. Bonitz and D. Semkat, World Scientific, New Jersey, London, Singapur, Hong Kong.

[52] J. Bernstein, *Kinetic theory in the expanding universe*, (CUP, 1985).

[53] W. Hu and S. Dodelson, Ann.Rev.Astron.Astrophys. **40** 171 (2002) [astro-ph/0110414].

[54] J. Dunkley, *et al.* arXiv:0803.0732; G. Hinshaw, *et al.* arXiv:0803.0586.

[55] A.B. Arbuzov, B.M. Barbashov, Ł.A. Glinka, V.N. Pervushin, S.A. Shuvalov, A.F. Zakharov, arXiv:0802.3427 [hep-ph].

[56] C. Misner, Phys. Rev. **186**, 1319 (1969).

[57] E. Halley, Phil. Trans., **31** 24 (1720);
J. P. de Chéseaux, Trait e de la cométe qui a paru en Decembre 1793 et en Janvier, Fevrier et Mars 1794, Lussanne et Genevie. Michel Bouquet et Compagnie, 1794;
W. Olbers, Dode Ib., **15**, 24 (1826).

[58] S. Adler, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2426 (1969);
J.S. Bell, R. Jackiw, Nuovo Cimento **60 A**, 47 (1969);
W.A. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **184**, 1848 (1969).

[59] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976);
G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. **D 14**, 3432 (1976).

[60] M.E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. **B287**, 757 (1987);
V.A. Rubakov and M.E. Shaposhnikov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **166**, 493 (1996).

[61] A.D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. **5**, 17 (1967).

[62] L.B. Okun, "Leptons and Quarks", Nauka, Moscow, (1981); North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1982).

[63] R.H. Cyburt, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 567** 227 (2003); K.A. Olive, G. Steigman, and T.P. Walker, Phys. Rep. **333** 389 (2000).